The rate that was reflected on the alternate tariff sheet, 46.43¢ per Dth, was understated because it reflected only the transportation portion of the overall GTS rate. The appropriate Base Tariff Rate for Rate Schedule GTS which should have been reflected on Alternate Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 26 is 86.99¢ per Dth, which correctly includes the inadvertently omitted storage component. No other rates are affected since the omission occurred following the preparation of the overall rate design.

Columbia states that copies of its filing have been mailed to all firm customers, affected state regulatory commissions, and the official service

list in this proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such protests should be filed on or before August 22, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of Columbia's filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20586 Filed 8-18-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

# [Docket No. RP95-418-000]

# Mississippi River Transmission Corporation; Proposed Changes in FERC Tariff

August 15, 1995.

Take notice that on August 11, 1995, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT) submitted for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets:

First Revised Sheet No. 119 First Revised Sheet No. 120

MRT states that the tariff sheets submitted reflect revisions to MRT's tariff to modify and shorten the nomination deadlines for both first day of the month and mid-month nominations.

MRT requests waiver of the notice requirement of Section 154.22 of the Commission's Regulations to permit First Revised Sheet Nos. 119 and 120 to become effective on September 1, 1995.

MRT states that copies of its tariff filing were mailed to all of its affected

customers and the State Commissions of Arkansas, Missouri and Illinois.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest the subject filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before August 22, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–20582 Filed 8–18–95; 8:45 am]

#### [Docket No. CP95-684-000]

# Mid Continent Market Center, Inc.; Application

August 15, 1995.

Take notice that on August 11, 1995, Mid Continent Market Center, Inc. (MCMC), 818 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612, filed in Docket No. CP95–684–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) requesting a blanket certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing MCMC to transport natural gas under § 284.224 of the Commission's Regulations, as may be amended from time to time, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that MCMC is a whollyowned subsidiary of Western Resources, Inc. (WRI), providing transmission, gathering and storage services in support of WRI's distribution services in Kansas. It is asserted that MCMC is a Hinshaw pipeline within the meaning of the NGA and qualified for an exemption from Commission regulation under Section 1(c) of the NGA. It is explained that MCMC is engaged in interstate commerce for the purpose of providing additional gas supply for WRI's markets, receiving gas from connections with 6 interstate pipelines. It is further explained that MCMC receives all such gas within the state of Kansas, and the gas is consumed within the state of Kansas. It is asserted that MCMC is subject to regulation by the Kansas

Corporation Commission (KCC), with gas transactions regulated as to rates, terms and conditions of service.

It is stated that, during the most recent 12-month period, MCMC received 53.3 Bcf of natural gas within or at the boundary of the state of Kansas. It is further stated that this is the total volume received by MCMC from all sources for the year. MCMC states that it will use its rates and tariffs on file with the KCC for the services rendered under the blanket certificate requested in the subject application. MCMC further states that it will comply with all applicable conditions contained in paragraph (e) of § 284.224 of the Commission's Regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before August 22, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for MCMC to appear or be represented at the hearing.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–20579 Filed 8–18–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### [Docket No. RP95-413-000]

# Northwest Pipeline Corp.; Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order

August 15, 1995.

Take notice that on August 2, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) filed, pursuant to Rule 207(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 387.207(a)(2), a petition for a declaratory order finding that rolled-in rates are appropriate for the costs and services associated with the new facilities certificated in Docket Nos. CP93–613–000, –001, and –002 (Northwest Natural Expansion Project) and CP93–673–000, –001, and –002 (Northwest Expansion II Project).

Northwest states that, subsequent to receiving certificates for the Northwest Natural and Expansion II Projects, the Commission issued its Policy Statement on Pricing Policy for New and Existing Facilities Constructed by Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines wherein the Commission provided procedures in conjunction with the certificate process for obtaining a predetermination on the rate design of new facilities. Although it has already received final certificate authority for its expansion projects, subject to its request for rehearing, Northwest states it desires to obtain rate certainty, specifically, rolled-in rates, with respect to these projects prior to placing rates into effect in its general rate proceeding that would include the costs and services associated with these

Northwest states rolled-in rate treatment would be appropriate for the expansion projects since the facilities will be an integral part of Northwest's existing system, provide specific operational and financial benefits, and impact the rates of existing customers

less than five percent.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before September 6, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the

Commission's Rules. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–20585 Filed 8–18–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

# [Docket Nos. TM96-1-116-000 and MT95-15-000]

# OkTex Pipeline Company; Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 15, 1995.

Take notice that on August 10, 1995, OkTex Pipeline Company (OkTex) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, with a proposed effective date of October 1, 1995:

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 5 and Second Revised Sheet No. 28

OkTex states that Seventh Revised Sheet No. 5 reduces the OkTex Annual Charge Adjustment Clause (ACA) rate from \$0.0024 to \$0.0023 per MMBtu and that Second Revised Sheet No. 38 revises the OkTex statement of its relationship with marketing affiliates.

OkTex states that copies of the filing were served upon the company's jurisdictional customers and upon interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before August 22, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

# Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-20580 Filed 8-18-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-383-002]

# Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 15, 1995.

Take notice that on August 11, 1995, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) tendered for filing the following tariff sheets for inclusion in its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, to be effective August 7, 1995:

Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 268 Original Sheet No. 268A

Panhandle states that this filing is in compliance with ordering paragraph (B) of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's August 4, 1995, Order Accepting Tariff Sheets Subject To Conditions (August 4, 1995 Order) in the referenced docket. In accordance with that Order, Panhandle has included in Section 12.12(b) of the General Terms and Conditions to its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 the option for customers to request a reconciliation of their storage gas held in inventory beyond the termination date of their storage contract should there be a discrepancy between Panhandle and the Shipper respecting the Stored Volume.

Panhandle has also included in Section 12.12(b) a provision which extends the time available for Shippers to remove their gas from storage by one day for every day that the Shipper has been unable to withdraw its gas due to operational or force majeure conditions on Panhandle's system.

Panhandle states that copies of this filing are being served on all parties to this proceeding, customers affected by the proposed tariff sheets and their state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before August 22, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–20574 Filed 8–18–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M